

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition (PIL) No.109 of 2020

Narendra Prasad Pokhriyal

..... Petitioner.

Vs.

Union of India and others

..... Respondents.

Dated: 30th June, 2020

Mr. Ajay Veer Pundir, learned Counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General along with Mr. Suyash Pant Chaudhary, learned Brief Holder for the State.

Coram: Hon'ble Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J.

Hon'ble R.C. Khulbe, J.

Hon'ble Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, Mr. Ajay Veer Pundir, learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that though the petitioner has raised such a plea in the writ petition, he has not sought a specific prayer for rehabilitation of those displaced under the project; and, instead of keeping the writ petition pending on the file of this Court, it would suffice if the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file a writ petition afresh regarding rehabilitation of those who were displaced under the project.

2. Granting liberty as sought for, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No costs.

(R.C. Khulbe, J.)

(Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J.)

Balwant/Sukhbant