

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL**  
**First Bail Application No. 89 of 2020**

Amar Singh

.....Applicant

Vs.

State of Uttarakhand

.....Respondent

**Hon'ble Alok Kumar Verma, J.**

Heard Mr. Vikas Kumar Guglani with Mr. B.S. Korenga, learned Advocates for the applicant and Mr. P.S. Bohara, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand.

2. This First Bail Application has been filed for grant of regular bail in connection with F.I.R. No. 57 of 2019, registered with Police Station Kelakhera, District Udham Singh Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 324, 504, 506 & 307 of IPC.

3. Perused and gone through the records.

4. On 16.04.2019, when Ranjit Singh, son of the informant, over took the car of the co-accused Tinku in which applicant and his friends were sitting abused Ranjit Singh and had altercation with the son of the informant. On 20.04.2019 at about 7.00 P.M. applicant accompanied with other co-accused persons came to the shop of Ranjit Singh with stick and sword and started abusing the son of the informant and thereafter assaulted him with the weapons they were carrying along with them due to which the son of the informant sustained grievous injuries. It is further stated that the life of the son of the informant was saved as one of the person Santosh Singh intervene in the matter and saved the informant's son from the clutches of the applicant and other co-accused.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated; the applicant has no criminal history; he is in the custody since 20.12.2019; co-accused Amrit Pal Singh Alias Tinku Singh, co-accused Akash, co-accused Sukhvinder Singh Alias Sukha, co-accused Pawan Singh and co-accused Sunni had already been enlarged on bail. The learned counsel for the applicant further submits that this is the case of parity and if the applicant is granted bail he will not misuse the same.

6. The learned counsel for the State opposed the bail application, however, he fairly concedes that this is a case of parity.

7. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and without expressing any opinion as to the final merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant deserves bail at this stage.

8. The bail application is allowed.

9. Let the applicant be released on bail on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the concerned Court.

10. Let a certified copy of this order be issued to the learned counsel for the parties today itself, on payment of the prescribed charges.

**(Alok Kumar Verma, J.)**  
**Vacation Judge**  
31.01.2020