CRWP-11006-2020 -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-11006-2020

Date of Decision: 31.12.2020

Dilshad Akhtar and another

....Petitioners

Versus

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Present: Mr. Imra

Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate,

for the petitioners.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the

Constitution of India with a prayer to protect the life and liberty of the

petitioners at the hands of the private respondent Nos.5 to 10.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the

petitioners are living in a live-in relationship and they intend to marry each

other. He has further submitted that the petitioner No.1 is already married

and his earlier marriage has not been dissolved but under the Muslim Law,

the husband can have upto four wives even during the subsistence of the

earlier marriage. He has further submitted that the scope of the present

petition is confined only for the grant of protection of life and liberty to the

petitioners because in case the direction is not granted then the private

respondents may even kill the petitioners in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 4 only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, Assistant

VIRENDRA SINGH ADHIKARI 2021.01.01 20:36 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document CRWP-11006-2020 -2-

Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on

behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4 and submits that he has no objection in case,

the petition can be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior

Superintendent of Police, Sangrur (Punjab) to look into the representation

(Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioners and proceed further in accordance

with law.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners had moved a representation (Annexure P-3) to

the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, on the ground that they have

apprehension that the private respondents would kill them. Accordingly, the

present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior

Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, to look into the representation (Annexure

P-3) given by the petitioners and to take further measures, if so required, in

accordance with law.

However, it is made clear that the scope of the present

directions issued in this petition are confined only for the protection of life

and liberty of the petitioners and does not, in any way, reflect anything on

the relationship between the petitioners interse.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI) **JUDGE**

31.12.2020 adhikari

Whether speaking/non-speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No