COCP-2923-2020 (O&M)

Through video conference

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

COCP-2923-2020 (O&M). Decided on: December 31, 2020.

Dangal Singh Sandhu

.. Petitioner

VERSUS

Dinkar Gupta

.. Respondent

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

* * *

PRESENT Ms.Garima Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for not complying with the order dated 23.11.2020 passed by this Court in CRWP-9344-2020 titled as Dangal Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that vide order dated 23.11.2020, respondent no.2 was directed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court to decide the representation dated 17.10.2020, (Annexure P4), of the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date

RAJ KUMAR ARORA 2021.01.01 19:12 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document

1

COCP-2923-2020 (O&M)

of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law. He has

further submitted that the said representation has not been decided till date

and therefore, the petitioner has filed the present petition.

Notice of motion.

Mr.T.P.S.Chawla, DAG, Punjab, has caused appearance

on behalf of respondent and on instructions from Mr.Paramdeep Singh

Sandhu, AIG (Security), Punjab, has submitted that in pursuance of order

dated 23.11.2020, the threat perception to the petitioner was assessed and

even the statement of the petitioner was also recorded in this regard and

thereafter, the Additional Director General of Police, Punjab, had also

passed an order dated 15.12.2020 in pursuance of the directions issued by

this Court. He has submitted that a copy of the said order shall be supplied

to the petitioner in due course. He has further submitted that even a copy of

the said order was supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner today

itself on whatsapp group.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner

submits that he does not press the present petition and therefore, no further

proceedings are called for in the present case. Consequently, the present

petition is dismissed.

December 31, 2020. raj arora

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI) JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned Whether reportable

Yes / No Yes / No

RAJ KUMAR ARORA 2021.01.01 19:12 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document

2