

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.9893 of 2020
Date of decision:27.11.2020

Reena and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Reena daughter of Dinesh Khurana (petitioner No.1) claims to be 18 years and Rakesh Yadav son of Rajpal (petitioner No.2) claims to be 20 years of age. They have pleaded that they are in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondent No.5 and seek protection of their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent No.5. The petitioners have submitted a representation Annexure P-3 to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Hansi, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon a judgment of this Court in **CWP No.31834 of 2019** titled as “***Megha and another vs. State of Haryana and others***” decided on 04.11.2019 and submitted that

this Court had entertained petition for protection of couples in live-in relationship.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-3 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

November 27, 2020
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No