CWP-18152-2020 -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18152 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.10.2020

Des Raj

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

By way of filing the instant civil writ petition, petitioner Des

Raj, aged about 60 years, who had retired as Safai Sewak from Nagar

Council, Sri Muktsar Sahib on 30.06.2019 craves for issuance of a

direction to the respondents i.e. State of Punjab and 02 others to prepare

the pension case of the petitioner and fix his pension at the earliest

besides claiming interest @ 18% p.a., on delayed payment.

The petitioner is relying upon judgment passed by Full

Bench of this Court in case A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab 1997(3)

SCT 468.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on

behalf of respondents No.1 and 2-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner

would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to take

SUMIT KUMAR 2020.10.30 14:21 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document

CWP-18152-2020 -2-

necessary action on the representation dated 30.07.2020 (Annexure P-1)

submitted by him before filing of the instant writ petition in a time bound

manner.

Learned State counsel states that the respondents would

abide by any direction issued by this Court in that regard.

Thus, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the

case and to enable the respondents to apply their mind and take decision

in the matter and further to avoid unnecessary litigation between the

parties, the present writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondents

to consider the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated

30.07.2020 (Annexure P1) as per law within a period of two months from

the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. However, since the

petition is not being decided on merits, if after disposal of his

representation by the respondents, the petitioner still feels dissatisfied, he

may take recourse to the legal remedy in accordance with law.

30.10.2020

(H.S. MADAAN) JUDGE

sumit.k

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

No

Whether Reportable:

Yes

No

SUMIT KUMAR 2020.10.30 14:21 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document