

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE G SRI DEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 2627 OF 2020

Between:

Syed Imran, S/o.Syed Karimula,

...PETITIONER/ ACCUSED-2

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep.by Public Prosecutor, High Court of Judicature of
Hyderabad, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/ RESPONDENT

Petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to release the petitioner/ Accused No.2 on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in F.I.R. No.208 of 2020 SHO Jeedimetla.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri.R.RAMAKRISHNA, Advocate for the Petitioner and of the Additional Public Prosecutor (TG) on behalf of the Respondent.

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE G. SRI DEV

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2627 of 2020

ORDER:

The present Criminal Petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, is filed by the petitioner/A-2 seeking to grant anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.208 of 2020 of Jeedimetla Police Station, Cyberabad, which was registered for the offences punishable under Section 307 read with Section 34 of I.P.C.

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State and perused the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the injuries sustained by the son of the *de facto* complainant are very simple in nature and as such the offence under Section 307 of I.P.C. would not attract. He also submits that A-3, who stands on the same footing as that of the petitioner, has already been granted anticipatory bail. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed in the event of his enlargement on anticipatory bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

As seen from the contents of F.I.R., there are specific allegations against the petitioner that when the son of the *de facto* complainant and A-1 were arguing with each other, the present

petitioner has assaulted and inflicted injuries on the shoulders and head of the son of the *de facto* complainant and thereafter fled away from the place of occurrence. Thus, looking into the nature of allegations leveled against the petitioner/A-2 and the gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to release the petitioner/A-2 on anticipatory bail and accordingly, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail is rejected. However, the petitioner/A-2 is directed to surrender before the Court concerned within a period of one month from today and file an application for bail before the Court concerned after giving prior notice to the Public Prosecutor concerned, in which event, the same can be disposed of in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is disposed of.

//TRUE COPY//


Sd/-K.AMMAJI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The XXI Metropolitan Magistrate, at Medchal, Cyberabad, RR District.
2. The Station House Officer, Jeedimetla Police Station, Cyberabad.
3. Two ccs to Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana, at Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One cc to Sri R.Rama Krishna, Advocate (OPUC)
5. Two CD Copies

KJ.



HIGH COURT

DATED:30/06/2020

ORDER

CRLP.No.2627 of 2020



Disposing the Crl.P.

(7) VW
7/7/20