

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.SURESH KUMAR

MONDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2020 / 9TH AGRAHAYANA, 1942

WP(C).No.26394 OF 2020(Y)

PETITIONER/S:

SAJEENA S. ,
AGED 44 YEARS ,
W/O.SHAJAHAN, MUHARAM,
PERUMPUZHA P.O. ,
ELAMPALLOOR VILLAGE ,
KOLLAM TALUK ,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.V.ANIL KUMAR

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
KOLLAM, COLLECTORATE,
KOLLAM, PIN-691 013.
- 2 THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
KOLLAM, COLLECTORATE,
KOLLAM, PIN-691 013.
- 3 THE TAHSILDAR,
THALUK OFFICE, KOLLAM,
KOTTARAKKARA (P.O) ,
KOLLAM DISTRICT, PIN 691 001.
- 4 THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
ELAMPALLOOR VILLAGE OFFICE,
KUNDARA (P.O.) ,
KOLLAM DISTRICT,
PIN-691 501.
- 5 THE AGRICULTURAL OFFICER,
KRISHI BHAVAN, ELAMPALLOOR,
KUNDARA (P.O) ,
KOLLAM DISTRICT,
PIN-691 501.

SRI.K.J.MANURAJ, GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
30.11.2020, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C) No.26394 of 2020

J U D G M E N T

Ext.P4 is an application preferred by the petitioner in Form No.5 of the Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Rules for correction of the entry in relation to a property held by her, in the data bank prepared in terms of the Kerala conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Act, 2008. The grievance of the petitioner in the writ petition concerns the inaction on the part of the second respondent in taking a decision on Ext.P4 application.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as also the learned Government Pleader.

3. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the orders passed by this court in similar and identical writ petitions, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the second respondent to take up and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P4 in accordance with law, if the same is received and pending, after affording the petitioner an opportunity of hearing. Ordered accordingly. This shall be done within three months from the date of production of a copy of this judgment along with a copy of the writ petition by the petitioner. If the application directed to be disposed of is found defective, the defect shall be intimated to the petitioner by the second respondent

immediately on production of a copy of this judgment, and the second respondent will be entitled to avail the time taken by the petitioner to cure the defects in the said application also, for compliance of the directions contained in the judgment. It is made clear that the hearing in terms of this judgment can either be physical or through video conference.

Sd/-
P.B.SURESH KUMAR
JUDGE

rkj

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S/S EXHIBITS:

EXHIBIT P1	A TRUE COPY OF THE SALE DEED NO.4146 OF 2009 OF THE SRO, KUNDARA.
EXHIBIT P2	A TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT.
EXHIBIT P3	A TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 10.1.2020 OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT VILLAGE OFFICER.
EXHIBIT P4	A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 6.8.2020 BEFORE THE 5TH RESPONDENT.