## IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

### CWP No.873 of 2020.

**Date of decision: 30.05.2020.** 

Dr. Sushil Kumar Phull

.....Petitioner.

#### Versus

Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur

.....Respondent.

#### Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge. The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anoop Chitkara, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?1

For the Petitioner : Mr. R.S.Gautam, Advocate,

through video conferencing.

For the Respondent: Mr. Naresh K. Sharma,

Advocate, through video

conferencing.

# COURT PROCEEDINGS CONVENED THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE.

# Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge (oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent states that due and admissible amount of Rs.21,665/- stands paid to the petitioner. His statement is taken on record.

2. In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the respondent, the petitioner does not press the instant petition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?Yes

2

for the time being and the same is disposed of as such, leaving the parties to bear their own costs. However, liberty reserved to the petitioner to approach this Court in case he still feels aggrieved. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

> (Tarlok Singh Chauhan) Judge

> > (Anoop Chitkara) Judge

30<sup>th</sup> May, 2020. (krt/PK)