

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 5458 of 2020.**

**Date of Decision: 27.11.2020**

---

State of H.P. and ors.

Versus

.....Petitioners

---

Geeta Ram

.....Respondent

---

*Coram*

***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sureshwar Thakur, Judge.***

***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Chander Bhusan Barowalia, Judge.***

*Whether approved for reporting?<sup>1</sup>*

---

For the petitioners: Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. AG with Mr. J.S. Guleria, Dy. AG.

For the respondent: None.

**(Through Video Conferencing).**

---

**Sureshwar Thakur, Judge** (oral)

Since, the order impugned, in the extant writ petition, is, merely a direction, upon, the writ petitioners, to, consider the writ claim, in accordance with law, thereupon, it is open to the petitioners, to, make, upon, the writ claim, a, thorough application of mind, within the ambit of the declaration, of, law, recited in the verdict impugned. However, if the writ petitioners or the respondent, became aggrieved therefrom, it is open for them, to, make a challenge, through a motion, being made, at the appropriate Court of law. Consequently, the extant writ petition is dismissed, as not maintainable. All pending applications are disposed of.

**(Sureshwar Thakur)  
Judge**

**(Chander Bhusan Barowalia)  
Judge**

27<sup>th</sup> November, 2020  
(CS/raman)

---

<sup>1</sup> Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.