IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

CWP No.2658 of 2020

Decided on: 31st July, 2020

Dinesh KumarPetitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others

.....Respondents

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge The Hon'ble Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

¹ Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioner: Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, Senior Advocate

with Mr. Diwan Singh Negi, Advocate,

through Video Conferencing.

For the respondents: Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General,

with Mr. R.P. Singh and Ms. Seema Sharma, Deputy Advocates General,

Sharma, Deputy Advocates General

through Video Conferencing

Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge (Oral)

The petition at this stage against the show cause notice is not maintainable. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner under instructions states that the instant petition itself may be ordered to be treated as reply to the show cause notice. The prayer being innocuous, is allowed.

2. Consequently, the present petition is dismissed as not maintainable. However, the same shall be considered as reply to the show cause notice. In turn, respondent No.2 is

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

directed to take further action in accordance with law, after considering the reply of the petitioner, within a fortnight from today. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of. The parties are left to bear their own costs.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua) Judge

July 31, 2020 (Rohit/Mukesh)