

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

COPC(T) No. 349 of 2020

Decided on: June 30, 2020

Rajinder Kumar and anotherPetitioners

Versus

Sandeep BhatnagarRespondent

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹No.

For the Petitioners : Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate,
through video-conferencing.

For the Respondent : Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate,
through video-conferencing.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge (oral):

By way of present petition filed under S.17 of the Administrative Tribunals Act read with S.12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioners for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for having willfully and intentionally violated order dated 13.7.2017 passed by erstwhile Himachal Pradesh Administrative Tribunal in OA No. 5304 of 2015, titled Rajinder Kumar and another vs. Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another, whereby learned Tribunal below directed the respondent to place the matter regarding anomaly in the grade pay before the Service Committee for decision and further the respondent was directed to implement the same, if approved by Service Committee.

¹ *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, under instructions, states that though pay anomaly has been partly removed but due and admissible amount has not been paid from due date. He fairly states that since consideration order stands passed in terms of order alleged to have been violated, present petition may be disposed of.

In view of above, present proceedings are closed. Notice issued to the respondent is discharged. Liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a substantive petition qua surviving grievances, if so advised.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

June 30, 2020
(vikrant)