IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CWP No. 1149 of 2020 Decided on: 31.08.2020

Jagdish Chand ...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors.Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.

Hon'ble Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? 1 No.

For the Petitioner : Mr. C. N. Singh, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Ranjan

Sharma, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Desh Raj Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Ms. Seema sharma, and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Dy. A.Gs.

respondents-State.

(<u>Through Video Conferencing</u>)

Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge (Oral)

The parties are *ad idem* that the controversy involved in the instant petition has been set at rest by this Court in *CWPT No. 5253 of 2008, titled as Narain Singh vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others*, vide judgment dated 21.04.2010, which has been affirmed upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

2. Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in light of the judgment passed in *Narain Singh's* case (supra) and extend the benefits to him, if found to be

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes

similarly situated. Needful be done within six weeks from today.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan) Judge

31st August, 2020.

(Pankaj/sanjeev)

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua) Judge