

HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH: BENCH AT INDORE

Writ Petition No.8910/2020
Brijmohan Patidar v/s The State of Madhya Pradesh & Others
Indore, dated 30.06.2020

Shri Manish Vijayvargiya, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Shrey Raj Saxena, learned Government Advocate for the respondents / State.

The petitioner before this Court has filed this present petition being aggrieved by the order dated 17.06.2020 passed by the Chief Executive Officer, by which, the petitioner has been attached at a distance of 3 km only.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued before this Court that as per the provisions of appointment of the petitioner, the petitioner cannot be transferred and his services cannot be terminated without issuing a show-cause notice, and therefore, the impugned order is bad in law.

On the other hand, learned Government Advocate for the respondents / State has drawn the attention of this Court towards the impugned order and it has been stated that two inquiries have been instituted in respect of Gram Panchayat, Magrana vide order dated 15.06.2020 and only to ensure that the inquiries are not being influenced by the petitioner, who was posted there, he has been attached only by way of temporary arrangement that too at a distance of 3 km only.

This Court has carefully through the appointment order of the petitioner as well as the impugned order and as the impugned order reflects that an inquiry has been

instituted, the Chief Executive Officer was certainly justified in shifting the petitioner to a nearby place till the conclusion of the inquiry. No case for interference is made out in the matter.

Resultantly, the admission is declined.

Certified copy, as per rules.

(S.C. SHARMA)
J U D G E

Ravi

Digitally signed by Ravi Prakash
Date: 2020.07.02 14:05:35 +05'30'