

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 4288 of 2020

Md. Salam

... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... .. **Opposite Party**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner

: Mr. R. S. Mazumdar, Sr. Advocate

For the State

: Mr. Praveen Kr. Appu, A.P.P.

02/31.07.2020 Heard Mr. R. S. Mazumdar, learned senior counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Praveen Kr. Appu, learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is an accused in connection with S. T. No. 125 of 2018, arising out of Sahibganj (M) P.S. Case No. 97 of 2017, corresponding to G. R. No. 533 of 2017.

On 08.09.2017 the marriage of the petitioner and the deceased was solemnized. It has been alleged that on the date of occurrence all went to sleep and the petitioner was seen frequently coming out from inside his room. The petitioner had subsequently woke up his brother-in-law and informed that his wife is not responding and when others went they had found her dead. The deceased was two months pregnant at the time of the incident.

Although learned senior counsel for the petitioner has stated that out of ten charge-sheet witnesses, six witnesses have been examined including the informant and none have supported the prosecution case but it appears that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and the cause of death has been opined to be throttling.

In such view of the matter, therefore, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. The prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

However, the trial may be expedite once the situation normalizes.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)