

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
A.B.A. No. 1241 of 2020

Vivek Kumar Gupta ... ... Petitioner  
Versus  
The State of Jharkhand ... ... Opposite Party

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Suraj Verma, Spl. P.P.

05/30.09.2020 Heard Mr. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Suraj Verma, learned Spl. P.P. for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail, as he is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sadar (Chatra) P. S. Case No. 184 of 2015 corresponding to G. R. No. 948 of 2015.

It appears that the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner was earlier rejected on 03.05.2016 in A.B.A. No. 4169 of 2015.

The petitioner has renewed his prayer for anticipatory bail on account of the fact that some of the co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in A.B.A. No. 4845 of 2019, A.B.A. No. 2422 of 2020 and A.B.A. No. 3524 of 2020.

The case of the petitioner cannot be equated with those accused who have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court merely because of the fact that his prayer for anticipatory bail was considered on merits and dismissed as stated above and even after four years he has not surrendered and has once again renewed his prayer for anticipatory bail on the ground noted hereinabove.

On consideration of the above, therefore, I am not inclined to reconsider the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. This application accordingly stands rejected once again.

**(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)**

Umesh/-