

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A No. 745 of 2020

Kalia Lohar

..... Petitioner(s).

Versus

State of Jharkhand

..... Opposite Party(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANDA SEN.

THROUGH : VIDEO CONFERENCING

FOR THE PETITIONER(S) : Mr. Samavesh Bhanj Deo, Advocate

FOR THE STATE : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, APP

05/29.05.2020

Heard learned counsel for the parties through video conferencing. They have no complain with respect to the audio and video clarity and quality.

The petitioner is an accused for allegedly committing an offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 302 IPC read with section 27 of the Arms Act.

Petitioner renews his prayer.

From the case diary and also from the submission of the learned APP, I finds that there is allegation against the petitioner that he struck the deceased on his head by Katari (sharp cutting weapon). The post-mortem report also suggests that there is injury on the head of the deceased and the said injury is sufficient to cause death.

Considering the aforesaid fact, I am not inclined to grant privilege of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner above named in connection with Adityapur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2018, corresponding to G.R. No. 234 of 2018, (S.T. No. 107 of 2018), pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Seraikella at Seraikella stands rejected.

Trial court is directed to take all possible steps so that trial can be concluded at the earliest.

(ANANDA SEN , J)