

**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA
B.A. 55/2020**

Manindra Kalai on behalf of accused Jerry Kalai

----Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Tripura

----Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. K. Pandey, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Ratan Datta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARINDAM LODH
Order

29/05/2020

When the matter is taken up for hearing, Mr. K Pandey, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that during pendency of the present bail application, the petitioner had preferred another application for bail of the accused before the learned Special Judge, Gomati Judicial District, Udaipur and, he is not pressing the instant bail application.

I have perused the present bail application wherein, in **paragraph no. (11)** it has been clearly written "***that, no bail application in respect of the accused person was filed or pending in any court***".

In view of this, it is expected that as per the affidavit sworn by the petitioner, the petitioner ought not have preferred any bail application before any other court of law.


But, it is revealed that suppressing the pendency of the present bail application before this court, the petitioner has moved another bail application before the learned Special Judge, Gomati Judicial District, Udaipur, which amounts to false swearing of affidavit.

Appropriate action may be taken against the petitioner in accordance with law for swearing a false affidavit.

In view of the above, the instant bail application stands disposed of as not pressed.

Copy of this order may be sent to the concerned Special Judge, Gomati Judicial District, Udaipur.

A copy of this order may also be supplied to learned Public Prosecutor.



सत्यमेव जयते

JUDGE