

Court No. - 1

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 35005 of 2019

Petitioner :- Sudhir Kumar And 6 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Virendra Singh Chauhan

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Jagannath Maurya, Pankaj Srivastava

Hon'ble Ramesh Sinha, J.

Hon'ble Ajit Kumar, J.

Heard Sri V. S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri Pankaj Srivastava, learned counsel for respondent No.4-Nagar Nigam, Meerut, Sri Suresh Kumar Maurya holding brief of Sri J. N. Maurya, learned counsel for respondent No.3.

Sri Pankaj Srivastava, learned counsel appearing for respondent-Nagar Nigam, Meerut at the very outset submitted that the controversy raised in the present writ petition has already engaged attention of this Court in P.I.L. No.20937 of 2016 and submits that since, the matter is already subjudice, the propriety demands that the petitioner should make an appropriate application in the pending P.I.L., if he is so aggrieved by any order passed as a consequence to the order of the Division Bench in the P.I.L.

With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition is consigned to records.

Order Date :- 31.10.2019

Nitin Verma

(Ajit Kumar, J.) (Ramesh Sinha, J.)