

\$~16.

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 6527/2019

RAKESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vishal Raj Sehijpal & Mr. Anwar  
A. Khan, Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, ASC for the  
respondents.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR**

%

**O R D E R**

**31.05.2019**

**C.M. No. 27586/2019**

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

**W.P.(C) 6527/2019**

The petitioner has preferred the present writ petition to assail the order dated 17.01.2019 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (CAT/ Tribunal) in O.A. No.3437/2013. The Tribunal has rejected the said Original Application of the petitioner.

The petitioner had participated in the examination conducted for recruitment of Constable (Driver) in Delhi Police. The petitioner was not successful in the said examination. He raised issues with regard to the answer-key of Questions No.9, 21, 28 & 57. The respondents took a stand

that the petitioner's answers to Questions No.21 & 57 were correct and he was given one mark each for the said questions. However, it was found that the petitioner's answers were wrong in relation to the Questions No.67 & 87 as per the revised answer-key and, consequently, he lost marks for the said questions.

The submission of the petitioner was and continues to be that his answers in relation to Questions No.9 & 28 were correct but were wrongly marked as incorrect and he was not awarded any marks therefor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn our attention to the said questions and we have perused the same as well as the answers given by the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also drawn our attention to the literature he has sought to rely upon in support of his answers.

On a perusal of the questions and the answer-key given by the petitioner as well as the supporting material, we are not convinced that the answers given by the petitioner can be said to be the only correct answer and that the revised answer-key was incorrect. That being the position, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order.

Dismissed.

**VIPIN SANGHI, J**

**RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J**

**MAY 31, 2019**

*B.S. Rohella*