

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL**  
**CLMA Delay Condonation Application No. 4486 of 2019**

**In**  
**SPECIAL APPEAL NO. 294 OF 2019**

State of Uttarakhand and others.

....Appellants

Vs.

Vijaya Pandey and others.

...Respondents

Sri Vikas Pandey, learned Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand / appellants.  
Sri D.K. Joshi, learned counsel for the respondent-writ petitioner.

Dated: 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2019

**Coram:     Hon'ble Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J.**  
**Hon'ble N.S. Dhanik, J.**

**Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J. (Oral)**

As the main appeal is being disposed of by this order, we see no reason to defer hearing of the appeal to enable the appellants to rectify the deficiencies pointed out by the Registry.

2.     The delay in preferring the appeal is not opposed by Sri D.K. Joshi, learned counsel for the respondent-writ petitioner, and the delay is, therefore, condoned.

3.     Both Sri Vikas Pandey, learned Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand/appellants and Sri D.K. Joshi, learned counsel for the respondent-writ petitioner, agree that the subject matter of this appeal is covered by an order passed by us in Special Appeal No. 127 of 2019 and batch dated 18.03.2019 whereby we had refused to interfere with the orders under appeals, and had dismissed the appeals.

4.     Following the order passed in Special Appeal No. 127 of 2019 and batch dated 18.03.2019, and in terms thereof, this appeal is also dismissed, however, in the circumstances, without costs.

(N.S. Dhanik, J.)  
30.04.2019

(Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J.)  
30.04.2019

Rathour