IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL Special Appeal No. 290 of 2017

State of Uttarakhand & Anotherappellants

Vs.

Anjul Singh and others

...Respondents

Mr. B.S. Parihar, learned Brief Holder for the State/appellants. Mr. Pankaj Miglani, learned counsel for the respondent no.1.

Dated: 29th, March, 2019

Coram: Hon'ble Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J. Hon'ble N.S. Dhanik, J.

Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J. (Oral)

These matters are now listed before us pursuant to the order of the Full Bench in Special Appeal No. 187 of 2017 dated 27.03.2019. Since, in terms of the order passed by the Full Bench in the aforesaid judgment, a married daughter cannot be excluded from being considered for appointment on compassionate grounds, suffice it to dispose of the appeal directing the appellants to consider the case of the respondents-writ petitioners for appointment on compassionate grounds, strictly in terms of the 1975 Regulations, treating "married daughters" to fall within the definition of "family" under the note below Regulations 104 of the 1975 Regulations.

The entire exercise, culminating in a decision being taken and communicated to the petitioner, shall be completed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order.

(N.S. Dhanik, J.)

(Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J.)

29.03.2019

Nahid