

Hon'ble Sudhanshu Dhulia, J.

Mr. Parikshit Saini, Advocate present for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Tyagi, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Chandra Prakash, Advocate present for respondent no. 2.

This writ petition arises out of the orders passed during consolidation proceedings. The first order of the consolidation officer is of 31.10.2001. The matter, however, reached before the Deputy Director of Consolidation. During the proceedings before the Deputy Director of Consolidation, the parties before this Court i.e. the present petitioner and the private respondents entered into a compromise on 25.02.2003 regarding allotment of “chaks” and on the basis of the said compromise, their “chaks” were allotted.

Thereafter, after a period of more than six years and eight months, an application was filed by the petitioner before the Deputy Director of Consolidation that he was misguided in entering into a compromise and he has been allotted a land which is less in area, though it may be of higher value.

The Deputy Director of Consolidation vide its order dated 17.10.2012 rejected the application of the petitioner not only on ground of inordinate delay, but also on ground that the application was based on absolutely wrong facts. The compromise was entered into between the parties on the basis of their agreement, which had their signatures, and it is wrong to contend that anyone was misguided. Aggrieved, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.

This Court finds no ground for any interference. This old matter cannot be raked up for such a long period.

Consequently, writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.

(Sudhanshu Dhulia, J.)
20.12.2019