

AMIT KUMAR  
2019.06.01 14:10  
I attest to the accuracy and  
integrity of this document

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 15673 of 2019  
Date of decision: 31.05.2019**

**Anokh Singh and another**

**... Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,  
CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

**KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)**

After the matter was heard at some length, when confronted with the observation that since L-1 was granted tender, though subsequently his technical bid was found to be non-responsive, but during the period consumed in decision by the first appellate authority and second appellate authority, he worked for a substantial period and the second appellate authority rightly cancelled the tender process, directing issuance of fresh tender, learned counsel for the petitioners finding it difficult to answer the query, sought leave of the court to withdraw this petition.

Prayer made is allowed.

The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(KRISHNA MURARI)  
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE**

**May 31, 2019**

AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES

Whether Reportable:

NO