

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.M.BABU

THURSDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY 2019 / 11TH MAGHA, 1940

Mat.Appeal.No. 187 of 2011

AGAINST THE ORDER IN OPHMA 390/2005 of FAMILY COURT, KOLLAM DATED  
04-10-2010

APPELLANT/RESPONDENT:

SHINIMOL, D/O SHANMUGHAM, MUDUMPIL HOUSE,  
GANGA NAGAR,MANACAUD,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SMT.M.HEMALATHA

RESPONDENT/PETITIONER:

JOBY, S/O GOPALKRISHNAN, VETTUKATTIL,  
KALLUMTHAZHAM,KILIKOLLUR-P.O,KILIKOLLUR VILLAGE,,  
KOLLAM TALUK,KOLLAM FROM KANNAMATHU VEEDU,,  
ULIYAKOVIL CHERRY,ULIYACOVIL.P.O,KOLLAM EAST,  
VILLAGE,KOLLAM--691019.

BY ADVS.  
SMT.P.LISSY JOSE.  
SRI.S.SANTHOSH KUMAR

THIS MATRIMONIAL APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
31.01.2019, ALONG WITH Mat.Appeal.202/2011, THE COURT ON THE SAME  
DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.M.BABU

THURSDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY 2019 / 11TH MAGHA, 1940

Mat.Appeal.No. 202 of 2011

AGAINST THE ORDER IN OPHMA 584/2005 of FAMILY COURT, KOLLAM  
DATED 04-10-2010

APPELLANT/RESPONDENT:

SHINIMOL, D/O SHANMUGHAM,  
MUDUMPIL HOUSE, GANGA NAGAR, MANACAUD,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SMT.M.HEMALATHA

RESPONDENT/PETITIONER:

JOBY, S/O GOPALKRISHNAN,  
VETTUKATTIL, KALLUMTHAZHAM,, KILIKOLLUR P.O.,  
KILIKOLLUR VILLAGE, KOLLAM TALUK, KOLLAM FROM  
KANNAMATHU VEEDU,, ULİYAKOVIL CHERRY, ULİYACOVIL  
P.O.,, KOLLAM EAST VILLAGE, KOLLAM-691 019.

BY ADVS.  
SMT.P.LISSY JOSE.  
SRI.S.SANTHOSH KUMAR

THIS MATRIMONIAL APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
31.01.2019, ALONG WITH Mat.Appeal.187/2011, THE COURT ON THE  
SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**JUDGMENT****SHAFFIQUE, J**

These appeals relates to the orders passed by the Family Court granting divorce in a petition filed by the husband and dismissing an application filed by the wife for restitution of conjugal rights. It is now stated that the husband has already re-married. Under such circumstances, we don't think it necessary to consider the appeal on merits, as no useful purpose will be served, even if we take a different view.

2. The learned counsel for the appellant/wife submits that no amount has been paid as maintenance so far. If at all any other rights are available for the appellant in respect of maintenance or the right to property, it shall be open for the appellant to take appropriate proceedings.

The appeals are dismissed.

Sd/-

**A.M. SHAFFIQUE**

**JUDGE**

Sd/-

**A.M. BABU**

**JUDGE**

kp

True copy

P.A. To Judge.