IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SATHISH NINAN
THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2019/9TH PHALGUNA, 1940

O.P. (C) No.654 of 2019

(AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 11-01-2019
IN I.A.Nos.2245/2018 & 2246/2018 IN O.S.No.199/2016
OF MUNSIFF COURT, KARUNAGAPPALLY)

PETITIONER/COUNTER PETTITIONER/PLAINTIFF:

MUTHUKOYA,
AGED 80 YEARS,
S/O. HAMEED KUNJU MUSALIYAR,
KALLOOR VEETTIL, KOZHIKKODU MEKKU MURI,
AYANIVELIKULANGARA VILLAGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY.

BY ADVS.

SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR SHRI.ASWIN KUMAR M J SMT.V.A.HARITHA SRI.K.ARJUN VENUGOPAL

SRI.P.A.MOHAMMED SHAH

SRI.R.NANDAGOPAL

SRI.SIDHARTH B PRASAD

SRI.SOORAJ T.ELENJICKAL

RESPONDENTS/PETITIONRES/DEFENDANTS:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM - 691 008.
- THE TAHSILDAR,

 KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK,

 TALUK OFFICE, CIVIL STATION,

 KARUNAGAPPALLY 690 518.
- 3 THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
 AYANIVELIKULANGARA VILLAGE,
 VILLAGE OFFICE, AYANIVELIKULANGARA,
 KARUNAGAPPALLY 690 573.

THIS OP (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28.02.2019, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is the plaintiff in a suit for mandatory injunction. He is aggrieved by the order setting aside the exparte decree after condoning the delay of 237 days.

The defendants are Government Officials. The reason for the delay was that, the earlier Government Pleader who was conducting the suit fell ill and the written statement could not be filed within time. It is only when the present Government Pleader was entrusted with the files, it was noticed that the defendants have failed to submit written statement and have been set exparte. It is thereupon that the application seeking to set aside the exparte decree was filed.

The court below has considered the reasons given for the delay and has

3

excercised its discretion, to condone the delay. I do not find any error of jurisdiction, warranting interference under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The Original Petition fails and is dismissed. The suit is of the year 2016. I dispose of this original petition directing the learned Munsiff to make every endeavor to have the suit tried and disposed of as expeditiously as possible.

Sd/-

SATHISH NINAN

JUDGE

4

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S/S EXHIBITS:

EXHIBIT P1	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN RSA 158/2010 DATED 25-5-2015 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.
EXHIBIT P2	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT ON O. S. 199/2016 OF THE MUNSIFF COURT, KARUNAGAPPALLY DATED 3-2-2018.
EXHIBIT P3	TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT AND PETITION IN I.A. 2245/2018 IN O. S. 199/2016 DATED 27-9-2018 OF THE MUNSIFF COURT, KARUNAGAPPALLY.
EXHIBIT P4	TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT AND PETITION IN I.A. 2246/2018 IN O. S. 199/2016 DATED 27-9-2018 OF THE MUNSIFF COURT, KARUNAGAPPALLY.
EXHIBIT P5	TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION IN I. A. 2245/2018 IN O. S. 199/2016 DATED NIL OF THE MUNSIFF COURT, KARUNAGAPPALLY.
EXHIBIT P6	TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION IN I. A. 2246/2018 IN O. S. 199/2016 DATED NIL OF THE MUNSIFF COURT, KARUNAGAPPALLY.
EXHIBIT P7	TRUE COPY OF THE COMMON ORDER IN I. A. 2245/2018 AND I. A. 2246/2018 IN O. S. 199/2016 OF THE MUNSIFF COURT, KARUNAGAPPALLY DATED 11-1-2019.