

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No. 3195 of 2019

Decided on: 31.10.2019

Pratima Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & others

...Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

Hon'ble Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate.

For the respondents : Sh. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General with M/s Adarsh Sharma and Ritta Goswami, Additional Advocate Generals.

Dharam Chand Chaudhary, J.(oral).

In view of the averments made in this writ petition and the nature of the relief sought, though, no interference of this Court in this matter is required and the same can be disposed of by leaving it open to the petitioner to make comprehensive representation to the respondents which shall be decided in a time bound manner. Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to make a representation before respondent No.2, highlighting his grievances therein within a week from today. The said respondent shall consider and decide the same within two weeks thereafter. The opportunity of being heard, if required, be also given to the petitioner. Till the

¹Whether reports of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

representation made by the petitioner is decided by the competent authority, she will not be compelled to join her duties at the transferred station and allowed to avail the leave of kind due.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

31st October, 2019
(reena)