## IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

CWP No. 208 of 2019 **Decided on: 21.01.2019** 

Man Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

Assistant Collector 1<sup>st</sup> Grade, Kullu & Ors. .....Respondents

Coram

## The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Chander Bhusan Barowalia, Judge.

<sup>1</sup> Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the petitioner:

Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate.

For respondent No. 1:

Mr. S.C. Sharma and Mr. Adarsh

Sharma, Addl. AGs.

## **Chander Bhusan Barowalia, Judge**

When the matter was taken up today, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he will not press the present petition, if respondent No. 1 is directed to decide the partition cases, i.e. Case No. 30-T/2006 and 31-T/2006, of the petitioner within a time bound manner, as the matters are pending with it since 2015.

The prayer made by learned counsel for the 2. petitioner appears to be genuine and learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to the same. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed, as withdrawn. However, respondent No. 1 is directed to decide the cases of the

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

petitioner at the earliest possible.

3. The petition, so also pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

Copy dasti.

(Chander Bhusan Barowalia) Vacation Judge

January 21, 2019 (raman/rohit)