

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2019

BEFORE

THE HON' BLE MR.JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9232 OF 2017

BETWEEN:

1. Sri Boregowda
S/o Maadegowda
Aged about 55 years
Member, Dashavara
Grama Panchayath
R/o Dashavara Village
Channapatna Taluk
Ramanagar District.
2. Sri Ravikumar
S/o Late Channaveeraiah
Aged about 45 years
Secretary, Dashavara
Grama Panchayath
R/o Makali Village
Channapatna Taluk
Ramanagar District.
3. Sri Ramakrishnaiah
S/o Chikkamuddegowda
Aged about 48 years
Member, Dashavara
Grama Panchayath
R/o Dashavara Village
Channapatna Taluk
Ramanagar District.
4. Sri Venkatesh
S/o Govindaiah

Aged about 26 years
 Reserve Police Constable
 R/o Abbur Doddi Village
 Channapatna Taluk
 Ramanagar District.

...Petitioners

(By Sri.Vijaykumar S.L., Advocate)

AND:

1. State of Karnataka
 By M.K.Doddi Police Station
 M.K.Doddi, Channapatna Taluk
 Ramanagar District
 Rep. by SPP High Court Building
 Bengaluru- 560 001.

2. Rathnamma
 D/o Venkatesh
 Aged about 30 years
 Adhyaksha, Dashavara
 Grama Panchayath
 R/o Abburu Doddi Village
 Channapatna Taluk
 Ramanagar District
 Bangalore-560 010.

...Respondents

(By Sri.S.Chandrashekaraiiah, HCGP)

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure praying to quash the proceedings initiated by the 1st Respondent police on the file of the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Ramanagar in Spl.C.No.62/2017 U/S 504, 506, 342 R/w 34 of IPC and Sec. 3(1)(m), 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) SC/ST (POA) Act as per Annexure-D and etc.

This Criminal Petition coming on for Orders this day, the Court made the following:

ORDER

Perusal of the records would indicate that on account of non-compliance of office objections, matter has been listed on more than three occasions. An order has been passed on 26.07.2018 to pay the costs, neither the cost has been paid nor the office objections have been complied. Even today, there is no representation. This only indicates that petitioners are not interested in prosecuting this petition. Hence, petition is dismissed.

In the event of an application for recall of the order is filed, Registry is directed to list the said application, only on receipt for having deposited the cost being enclosed.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

SB