

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY 2019

BEFORE

**THE HON' BLE MR.JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR**

**CRIMINAL PETITION No.9232 OF 2017**

**BETWEEN:**

1. Sri Boregowda  
S/o Maadegowda  
Aged about 55 years  
Member, Dashavara  
Gramapanchayath  
R/o Dashavara Village  
Channapatna Taluk  
Ramanagar District.
2. Sri Ravikumar  
S/o Late Channaveeraiah  
Aged about 45 years  
Secretary, Dashavara  
Gramapanchayath  
R/o Makali Village  
Channapatna Taluk  
Ramanagar District.
3. Sri Ramakrishnaiah  
S/o Chikkamuddegowda  
Aged about 48 years  
Member, Dashavara  
Gramapanchayath  
R/o Dashavara Village  
Channapatna Taluk  
Ramanagar District.
4. Sri Venkatesh  
S/o Govindaiah

Aged about 26 years  
 Reserve Police Constable  
 R/o Abbur Doddi Village  
 Channapatna Taluk  
 Ramanagar District.

...Petitioners

(By Sri.Vijaykumar S.L., Advocate)

**AND:**

1. State of Karnataka  
 By M.K.Doddi Police Station  
 M.K.Doddi, Channapatna Taluk  
 Ramanagar District  
 Rep. by SPP High Court Building  
 Bengaluru- 560 001.
2. Rathnamma  
 D/o Venkatesh  
 Aged about 30 years  
 Adhyaksha, Dashavara  
 Grama Panchayath  
 R/o Abburu Doddi Village  
 Channapatna Taluk  
 Ramanagar District  
 Bangalore-560 010.

...Respondents

(By Sri.S.Chandrashekaraiah, HCGP)

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure praying to quash the proceedings initiated by the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent police on the file of the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Ramanagar in Spl.C.No.62/2017 U/S 504, 506, 342 R/w 34 of IPC and Sec. 3(1)(m), 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) SC/ST (POA) Act as per Annexure-D and etc.

This Criminal Petition coming on for Orders this day, the Court made the following:

**ORDER**

Perusal of the records would indicate that on account of non-compliance of office objections, matter has been listed on more than three occasions. An order has been passed on 26.07.2018 to pay the costs, neither the cost has been paid nor the office objections have been complied. Even today, there is no representation. This only indicates that petitioners are not interested in prosecuting this petition. Hence, petition is dismissed.

In the event of an application for recall of the order is filed, Registry is directed to list the said application, only on receipt for having deposited the cost being enclosed.

**Sd/-  
JUDGE**

SB