

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2019

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ASHOK G. NIJAGANNAVAR

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO.69 OF 2015 (M)

BETWEEN:

RUDRAGOUDA,
S/O BASAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS,
R/O HINDASAGATTA VILLAGE,
GOVINABALLU POST,
HARIHAR TALUK – 577601,
DAVANGERE DISTRICT. PETITIONER

(BY SRI B RUDRAGOWDA, ADVOCATE - ABSENT)

AND:

P.V. SHIVANAGOUDA,
S/O VEERABHADRappa,
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
AGRICULTURIST AND LIC
AGENT, DOOR NO.439/7,
7TH CROSS,
MUDAHADADI VILLAGE,
HARIHAR TALUK – 577601,
DAVANAGERE DISTRICT. RESPONDENT

(RESPONDENT SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 115 OF CPC., AGAINST THE JUDGMENT DATED 26.11.2014 PASSED IN M.A.NO.125/2013 ON THE FILE OF THE II ADDL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, DAVANGERE, DISMISSING THE MISC APPEAL AND CONFIRMING THE ORDER DATED 22.6.2013 PASSED IN CIVIL MISC. 7/2009 ON THE FILE OF THE PRL. CIVIL JUDGE, DAVANGERE, DISMISSING THE PETITION FILED UNDER ORDER 9 RULE 13 OF CPC.,

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

Learned counsel for the revision petitioner absent.

No representation.

2. It is submitted that the learned counsel for the revision petitioner has expired on 01.08.2016. In view of the death of the learned counsel for the revision petitioner, the Court notice was issued to the revision petitioner, but the notice was not served on him. Despite this matter being adjourned for the last 2-3 years, the petitioner has not appeared and he is not represented by any counsel.

3. Hence, this revision petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

BSR