

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 946 OF 2019

**DRISHTI LIFESAVING PVT. LTD., THR.
ITS AUT. SIGN., CMDR NAVIN
AWASTHI.,**

... Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF GOA, THR. ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.,**

... Respondents

Mr. Shashikant Narayan Joshi and Ms. S. Bhat, Advocates for
the Petitioner.

Mr. P. Arolkar, Addl. Government Advocate for Respondent
No.1.

Mr. S. Naik, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

Coram:- M. S. SONAK, J.

Date:- 29th October, 2019

P.C.

As against the impugned order, the Petitioner has a remedy by way of an appeal to the District Court. In fact, Mr. S. Naik, learned counsel for Respondent No.3 objects to the entertainment of this petition on the ground that the Petitioner has an alternate and efficacious remedy by way of an appeal under the provisions of the Goa Labour Welfare Fund Act, 1986.

2. On perusal of the provisions, it does appear that the Petitioner has an alternate and efficacious remedy under the said Act.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is not entertained. However, liberty is granted to the Petitioner to avail of the alternate remedy under the said Act.

4. All contentions of all parties are however left open.

M. S. SONAK, J.

at*