The High Court Of Madhya Pradesh

WP-6180-2019

(RAVENDRA SINGH Vs THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH)

Jabalpur, Dated: 29-03-2019

Shri Devendra Kumar Tripathi, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Himanshu Mishra, learned Government Advocate for the respondent/State.

Heard on the question of admission.

By the instant petition, the petitioner is assailing the orders of the same date i.e. 08.03.2019 vide Annexure-P/1 and Annexure-P/2 whereby he has been directed to be transferred from Tahsil Nowgaun to Tahsil Gaurihar.

The challenge is founded mainly on the ground that the petitioner is ill and suffering from various ailments. In support of the said contention, he has filed certain documents. It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has moved a representation dated 13.03.2019 (Annexure-P/11) to the Authority for considering his personal grievances but nothing has been done so far.

On the other hand, the learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent/State has no objection if the said representation is decided by the Authority.

In view of the aforesaid, this petition is disposed of directing the Collector, District Chhatarpur to consider and decide the pending representation (Annexure-P/11) of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of submitting the certified copy of this order.

At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the place of petitioner, no one has taken the charge of the Patwari.

If such a situation exists, the petitioner be permitted to continue at the present place of posting i.e. Barat, Tahsil Nowgaun till the decision on his pending representation is taken.

With the aforesaid direction, the petition filed by the petitioner stands

disposed of.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

2

Certified copy as per rules.

(SANJAY DWIVEDI) JUDGE

Devashish

