

# The High Court Of Madhya Pradesh

WP-18015-2019

(SMT. CHANDRESH RAJPOOT Vs THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH)

Gwalior, Dated : 31-10-2019

Shri Ashish Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed against the order dated 24.07.2019 passed by respondent No. 3, by which the respondent No. 5 has been given appointment on the post of Anganwadi Sahayika, Village Jujhai Mota.

It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that the respondent No. 5 has secured the appointment on the basis of forged documents.

During the course of arguments, it was conceded by the counsel for the petitioner that the order under challenged is an appealable order and question of forgery cannot be decided unless and until the evidence is recorded.

Accordingly, this Court is of the considered opinion that looking to the grounds, on which the order of appointment of respondent No. 5 has been challenged, the petitioner has an alternative and efficacious remedy.

Thus, this petition is **dismissed with liberty** to the petitioner that if she so desire, then she can avail the alternative remedy available to her under the law.

(G.S. AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE

Abhi

ABHISHEK  
CHATURVEDI  
2019.10.31  
16:44:14  
+05'30'