NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2271 of 2019

Smt. Pushplata Marval W/o Mr. Shailendra Kumar Marval Aged About 29 Years Occupation- Assistant Teacher, Nagar Nigam, Govt. Primary School Andharikachhar, Korba, Block Korba, District- Korba, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

- 1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Urban Administration Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 2. Secretary Through Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 3. Municipal Corporation Through Its Commissioner, District- Korba, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocate For State : Mr. P. Acharya, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy Order on Board

29/03/2019

- 1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.
- 2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Panchayat department and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post under the Nagar Nigam in the year 2012 and taking both the services, the petitioner has

completed more than 8 years of service and therefore she is entitled

for the benefit of higher pay scale.

3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the

present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this

Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The

lead case of which being WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v.

State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)

4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter

being similar to the one passed in the case of "Shabnum Khatun"

(supra).

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ

petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar

terms to the order passed in WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum

Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors).

6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be

entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the

case by the Department.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)

Judge

Ved