

02. 29.03.2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

By way of this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“It is therefore prayed that this Hon’ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit the present writ application issue rule nisi in the nature of Mandamus calling upon the Opp. Parties to show cause as to why the Opp. Party no.2 shall not be directed to consider the representation filed by the petitioner under Annexure-3 within a time bound period before the issuance of work order in favour of Opp. Party No.3;

And in the event if the Opp. Parties failed to show cause or show insufficient cause the said rule be made absolute and the Opp. Party no.3 be directed to consider the representation filed by the petitioner under Annexure-3 the issuance of work order in favour of Opp. Party No.3;

And pass any other order(s)/direction(s) as would deem fit and proper;”

During course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has filed a representation on 15.03.2019 to the Executive Engineer, RWSS Division, Khandapara Road, Nayagarh-opposite party No.2 vide Annexure-3 which is pending for consideration. Hence, he prays for a direction for early disposal of such representation.

Considering the limited nature of grievance and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the opposite party No.2 to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 15.03.2019 vide Annexure-3 on its own merit within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, if the same is still pending.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

AKK

.....
(K.S. Jhaveri)
Chief Justice

.....
(K.R. Mohapatra)
Judge