

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 9341 of 2019

Anand Nag Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand Opp. Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Md. Zaid Ahmed, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Ravi Prakash, Spl. P.P.

03/20.12.2019 Heard Md. Zaid Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ravi Prakash, learned Spl. P.P. for the State.

Earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected on 15.04.2019 in B. A. No. 1230 of 2019.

The eye-witnesses as per the First Information Report namely the informant and his wife Filamina Tirkey has been examined during the trial as P.W. - 4 and P.W. - 2 respectively. P.W. - 4 had stated that he had not seen the occurrence although in the First Information Report he claims himself to be an eye-witness. So far as the P.W. -2 is concerned, she has stated that at the time of occurrence she was not present. Therefore, the allegation levelled against the petitioner seems to have been diluted on account of the evidence of P.W. - 2 and P.W. - 4.

Regard being had to the above, the petitioner, named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge - II, Simdega, in connection with S. T. No. 54 of 2019 arising out of Pakartand P.S. Case No. 20 of 2018 subject to the condition that the petitioner shall remain physically present before the learned trial court on each and every date, till the conclusion to the trial.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)