

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A No. 9046 of 2019

Madhusudan Ray @ Madhu Sudan Rai Petitioner(s).
Versus
State of Jharkhand Opposite Party(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANDA SEN.

FOR THE PETITIONER(S) : Mr. Nilesh Kumar, Advocate
FOR THE STATE : Ms. Nehala Sharmin, APP

04/25.10.2019

Heard learned counsel for the parties. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

The petitioner is an accused for allegedly committing an offence punishable under Sections 307/34 of the IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected earlier on 18.4.2018. He renews his prayer.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that out of seven witnesses, three witnesses have been examined till date. He submits that petitioner is in custody since 28.11.2017. He submits that victim has already been examined in this case which shows that there is no scope of tampering with the evidence.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail but does not dispute the aforesaid facts.

Considering the aforesaid facts, I am inclined to allow this application. Accordingly, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Judicial Commissioner-XVIII, Ranchi in connection with Namkom Police Station Case No. 300 of 2017 (G.R. No. 6488 of 2017), corresponding to S.T. No. 194 of 2018, subject to the condition that one of the bailor must be the close relative of the petitioner having sufficient landed property in his own name. The petitioner after his release from custody should appear and mark his attendance before the Namkom police station once in a fortnight till disposal of the trial, failing which his bail bond shall stand cancel and the officer-in-charge Namkom police station will take appropriate steps for his arrest.

(ANANDA SEN , J)