

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
W.P.(C) No.2727 of 2019

-----  
Sangeeta Devi @ Sangeeta Devi Bhadani @ Sangita Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi
3. The Circle Officer, Town Anchal, Ranchi .....

-----  
Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD**

-----  
For the Petitioner : Mr. Rahul Kr. Das, Advocate  
For the Resp.-State : Mr. Ankit Kumar, A.C. to G.P.-IV

---

**02/28.06.2019** The writ petition is under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, whereby and whereunder the order dated 01.05.2019 passed by the Circle Officer, Ranchi in Mutation Case No.1025 R 27/18-19, whereby the Circle Officer, has rejected the application filed by the petitioner mutating the land in question.

Mr. Ankit Kumar, learned A.C. to G.P.-IV appearing for the Respondent-State has raised preliminary objection regarding maintainability of this writ petition on the ground of availability of alternative remedy of appeal/revision under the provision of the Bihar Tenant's Holdings (Maintenance of Records) Act, 1973.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner upon such submission, has sought for permission to withdraw this writ petition with a liberty to approach before the appellate authority. However, he submits that if the appeal would be filed by the petitioner, the appellate authority is directed to dispose of the appeal without any delay.

Upon such submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to approach before the appellate authority for appreciation of fact for determination of the issue involved in this case, if the appeal would be filed within three weeks' from the date of receipt of copy of the order, the appellate authority will decide the appeal without un-necessary delay.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Rohit/-

**(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)**