

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(C) No. 3853 of 2013

M/s. Birsa Agro, a proprietorship firm having its office in Ranchi through its sole proprietor Ravindra Raghuvanshi

...Petitioner

-V e r s u s-

1. Central Coalfields Limited, Darbhanga House, Ranchi through its Chairman-cum-Managing Director
2. The Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Central Coalfields Ltd. Ranchi
3. The Director (finance), Central Coalfields Ltd., Ranchi
4. The General Manager (Sales & Marketing), Central Coalfields Ltd., Ranchi

...Respondents

**CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR**

06/29.11.2019

The present writ petition was earlier taken up on 13.07.2018 and on the said date, Miss Amrita Sinha, Advocate, had submitted that she would be filing fresh Vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner and she prayed for adjournment for the said purpose. The writ petition was thereafter listed on 20.09.2019 and it was noticed by the Court that no fresh Vakalatnama was filed on behalf of the petitioner, hence the case was adjourned to be taken up after four weeks. The writ petition was further taken up on 18.10.2019 and on that day, Miss Amrita Sinha, Advocate, submitted that despite her several efforts, she could not contact the petitioner and as such fresh Vakalatnama could not be filed on its behalf. Under the said circumstance, office was directed to issue fresh notice to the petitioner through ordinary process.

As per the office report, the notice issued to the petitioner could not be served as the sole proprietor of the petitioner-proprietorship firm namely Ravindra Raghuvanshi does not reside on the given address. It thus appears that the Court has given sufficient indulgence to the petitioner for pressing the present writ petition and considering the aforesaid facts and circumstance, no further time can be given to the petitioner.

Keeping in view that the writ petition is of year 2013 and neither anyone is appearing on behalf of the petitioner nor the petitioner itself is taking interest in pursuing the present writ petition, I see no reason to keep the same pending.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.