IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 6007 of 2015

1. Smt. Bhagyavati Devi, W/o Late Sukhram Oraon
2. Sanjay Kumar Oraon, S/o Late Sukhram Oraon
3. Pandey Oraon, S/o Late Sukhram Oraon,

all are R/o village Baradinda, P.O. Ghoranige, P.S. Chandil, District Seraikella-Kharsawan. **... ... Petitioners**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Regional Director, Department of Animal Husbandary, South Chhotanagpur Division, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
3. Assistant Director, Department of Animal Husbandry, Government of Jharkhand, Nepal House, District – Ranchi.
4. District Vetenary Officer, Chaibasa, District – West Singhbhum.
5. District Vetenary Officer, Chandil, District Seraikella-Kharsawan. **... ... Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. M.M. Sharma, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mrs. Chandra Prabha, Advocate

05/31.01.2019: **I.A. No. 6827 of 2017**

The present interlocutory application has been filed for substitution of the petitioner by widow Smt. Bhagyavati Devi as the petitioner died on 17.07.2017 during the pendency of the present writ petition.

The present writ petition has been filed for grant of service benefit and retiral dues.

In view of the prayer, cause of action still survives and accordingly, I.A. No. 6827 of 2017 is allowed and disposed of.

Counsel for the petitioner is directed to make necessary correction in course of day.

W.P. (S) No. 6007 of 2015

Heard counsels for the parties.

The present writ petition has been filed for consideration of the claim of the petitioner for up gradation of pay scale under A.C.P./M.A.C.P Scheme and further for grant of 6th pay revision.

Counter affidavit has been filed in which it has been specifically stated that the entire retiral dues has already been released to the petitioner.

However, due to lack of some documents, the case of the petitioner could not be finally decided so far as A.C.P./M.A.C.P. Scheme is concerned.

In view of above facts, the present writ petition is, hereby, disposed of with a liberty the petitioner to file a detail representation before the authority annexing the required documents.

Respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner in right perspective and to take decision within eight weeks thereafter.

It is needless to say that if benefit of A.C.P./M.A.C.P. Scheme is extended, then due amounts should be released in favour of the petitioners as soon as possible.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Amar/-