IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR AT IMPHAL

W.P(C) No. 963 of 2019

Salam Chandra Singh & 11 Ors.

....Petitioners

-Versus-

State of Manipur & Anr..

....Respondents

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LANUSUNGKUM JAMIR

Order

29.11.2019

Heard Mr. Rk. Nokulsana, learned senior counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Shyam Sharma, learned G.A. appearing on behalf of all the respondents.

The petitioner had earlier approached this Court by filing WP(C) No. 738 of 2017 praying for a direction for regularization or in the alternative that their services shall not be converted into work-charge establishment.

This Court after hearing the parties had disposed of WP(C) No. 738 of 2017 by judgment and order dated 17.12.2018 and the operative portion of the judgment reads as under:

"[9] One corollary point which the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has emphasized, is that in respect of the IFC Department, many Muster Roll Employees have been regularized in compliance with the orders passed by this court and that since the present petitioners were similarly situated with them, they are also entitled to be regularized against the posts held by them, they are also entitled to be regularized against the posts held by the. But is not clear to this court from the materials placed on record whether they are similarly situated or not and therefore, no order can be passed by this court in respect of this point.

[10] In view of the above, the instant writ petitioner is disposed of with the direction that the respondents shall examine as to whether the present petitioners are similarly situated with the Muster Roll employees of the IFC Department who have been regularized as aforesaid, or not and if yes, the benefit of regularization shall be extended to the present petitioners."

After the judgment and order dated 17.12.2018 was passed, learned counsel for the petitioner had communicated the order of this Court to the Secretary/ Commissioner (PWD), Government of Manipur and the Chief Engineer (PWD), Government of Manipur by a communication dated 24.12.2018. A further reminder was given to the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 on 27.05.2019. However, there being no response, the petitioners are before this Court by way of the present writ petition praying for fixing a time frame for compliance of the judgment and order dated 17.12.2018 passed in WP(C) No. 738 of 2017.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration that it is now nearly 1 (one) year after the judgment and order dated 17.12.2018 was passed, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to implement the judgment

and order dated 17.12.2018 within a period of 3 (three) months from the date of receipt of a copy of the order of this Court.

With the above observations and directions, writ petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

joshua

