

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.5215 of 2018

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15494 of 2017

=====

Smt. Pushpa Devi Banka W/o Late Banwari Banka Resident of Mohalla-Satti
Chaura Mandir, Bahari Begampur,P.S. Bye-pass, Patna City-800009,Dist.-
Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna, Namely Deepak Kumar son of not known to the petitioner
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna namely Chandragupta Ashokvardhan, son of not known to the petitioner
3. The Collector, Patna Collectorate, Patna, namely Kumar Ravi, son of not known to the petitioner.
4. The Circle Officer, Patna Sadar, Patna Namely Pradeep Kumar Sinha
5. The Engineer-in-Chief, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna, Namely Lakshmi Narayan Das
6. The Executive Engineer, Road Construction Department, Gulzarbagh, Patna City, Bihar, Patna, Namely Suresh prasad, son of not known to the petitioner.
7. The Chief Engineer, Road Construction Department 'Visheshaariya Bhawan' (Technical Secretariat), Bailey Road, Patna, namely Sri Bhawani Jandan.
8. The Land Acquisition Officer, Patna, District Patna, namely Sri Baleshwar Prasad
9. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna namely Manu Mahraj, son of not known to the petitioner
10. Station-House Officer, Bye-pass Thana, Patna, Namely Golden Kumar
11. Raj Kumar Bind S/o Banshi Bind Resident of Begumpur, Sidhe Bazar, P.S. Byepass, Patna-8000098, Dist.-Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====



Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 31-07-2019 Supplementary affidavit filed today on behalf of the
State is taken on record.

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the
applicant that the directions have been complied with.

Learned counsel for the State-respondent also has
accepted this position.

However, an intervention application has been filed
by one Anjani Kumar Sinha whose contention is that the
exercise of eviction which has been carried out under the garb
of compliance of the directions of this Court, has virtually
affected the rights of the applicant and, therefore, the same
could not have been done without adjudicating upon the claim
of the applicant.

Litigation has ensued in this regard and, therefore, we
consign this contempt proceeding without prejudice to the rights
of the intervenor to pursue and seek his remedy before the



appropriate forum in accordance with law and the disposal of this contempt application or the orders passed herein will not be an impediment in pursuing the remedies in order to seek any appropriate relief.

(Amreshwar Pratap Sahi, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

P.K.P./-

U			
---	--	--	--

