

**Court No. - 44**

**Case :-** CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 3805 of 2018

**Revisionist :-** Ramu

**Opposite Party :-** State Of U.P. And Another

**Counsel for Revisionist :-** Dr. Arun Srivastav

**Counsel for Opposite Party :-** G.A.

**Hon'ble Rahul Chaturvedi,J.**

Heard learned counsel for the revisionist, learned AGA and perused the record.

By means of present criminal revision, the revisionist is assailing the veracity and validity of the judgment dated 27.9.2018 by which the revisionist was summoned under Section 498A, 304B and 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

I have gone through the entire judgment. The revisionist is named in the FIR. During the investigation, he has been bailed out and now by impugned order dated 27.9.2018, he has been summoned in front of the trial court. In the case of **Hardeep Singh Vs. State of Punjab, (2014), 3 SCC 1992**, the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court is quite explicit. Taking recourse of the guidelines provided in the aforementioned case, I do not find any good ground to interfere in the present case.

In view of the abovesaid discussions, the present criminal revision is, accordingly, rejected.

**Order Date :-** 31.10.2018

Ravi Kant