

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN BENCH AT
JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 959 / 2016
Shri Mahendra Kumar Devmurari S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal Devmurari,
Aged About 60 Years, R/o Plot No. 53, Kailash Puri Krishchan
Ganj, Ajmer (raj)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri Shalandra Kumar Agarwal Chairman-cum- Managing Director, The Raj State Road Transport Corporation, Parivahan Marg, Chomu House Jaipur
2. Shri Shambhu Singh, the Chief Priduction Manager, Raj., State Road Transport Corporation, Central Workshop, Ajmer, District Ajmer (Raj)

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Govind Upadhyay

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Vinayak Joshi, Mr. Kunal Jaiman

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK SHARMA

Judgment

23/03/2018

Counsel for the parties have fairly agreed that the issue agitated in this petition is squarely covered by the order of this Court in the case of SBCCP No.821/2016 titled Kamal Kant Mishra Versus Shri Rajesh Yadav & Anr. passed by this Court on 23.03.2018. They agree that this petition be disposed of in terms of the aforesaid judgment in the case of Kamal Kant Mishra (supra).

Having heard the counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the contemnors, this contempt petition is disposed of recording

the statement of Mr. Vinayak Joshi appearing for the contemnors that the court order dated 28.9.2015 inter-alia regarding payment of overtime, working on gazetted holidays and leave encashment will be complied with within two months following completion of audit underway for the purpose. And that in the event of review petition against the order dated 28.9.2015 being dismissed, interest as directed by this Court will also be paid to the petitioner.

It however is provided that if the contemnors do not hold firm on the statement made by their counsel Mr. Vinayak Joshi, the petitioner would be free to first approach the Chairman-cum-Managing Director RSRTC by a representation who would be under an obligation to address the said representation within a period of 15 days of its receipt by a reasoned order. As a last resort, the petitioner would be free to move an application for recalling of this order.

Contempt petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ALOK SHARMA), J.

Dk

सत्यमेव जयते