* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 4758/2016

VIJENDER SINGH Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Varma, APP for

State with ASI Hawa Singh, PS Nihal

Vihar.

CORAM:

%

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA

ORDER 28.02.2018

Pursuant to directions dated 15.12.2017, in view of the submissions made on behalf of the State that as per the FIR, there are two other persons who are injured named as Smt. Santosh and Ms. Kavita of whom Smt. Santosh was present on 15.12.2017 and had affirmed the factum that a settlement has been arrived at between the parties, notice was ordered to be issued to Ms. Kavita who is the daughter of the respondent no. 2 being the complainant of the FIR in question. Smt. Santosh and Ms. Kavita are present in person. The petitioner as the accused and the respondent no. 2 as the complainant and Smt. Santosh and Ms. Kavita as injured have been identified by the Investigating Officer present in the court today.

The examination of the respondent no. 2 the complainant of the FIR in question and the examination of two other persons being the injured, i.e., Smt. Santosh wife of the petitioner and Ms. Kavita niece CRL.M.C. 4758/2016

Page 1 of 2.

of the petitioner on oath by the court indicates that they have arrived

at a settlement inter se as per Ex. CW2/A on the record voluntarily of

their own accord without any duress, coercion or pressure from any

quarter and the testimony of Smt. Santosh wife of the petitioner who

is the person allegedly assaulted and had a quarrel with the petitioner

due to which the complainant who is her sister-in-law had lodged the

complaint and indicates that she states that she is living with the

petitioner even from the time of the quarrel when the FIR had been

registered in the year 2015.

On behalf of the State also, there is no opposition to the prayer

made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR no. No. 466/2015,

registered at PS Nihal Vihar, under Sections 323/326 of the Indian

Penal Code, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating

therefrom.

In the circumstances of the present case, it is considered

essential for the maintenance of peace and harmony between the

petitioner and the respondent no. 2 and also the wife and niece of the

petitioner, to put a quietus to the litigation between the parties. In

view thereof, the FIR no. 466/2015, registered at PS Nihal Vihar,

under Sections 323/326 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and all

consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

The petition is disposed of.

The copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed.

ANU MALHOTRA, J

FEBRUARY 28, 2018

NC

CRL.M.C. 4758/2016

Page 2 of 2

CRL.M.C. 4758/2016

VIJENDER SINGH

Vs. STATE & ANR

Statement of CW1: ASI Hawa Singh, PS Nihal Vihar, Delhi.

ON S.A.

I identify the petitioner Vijender Singh as being the accused arrayed

in FIR No. 466/2015, registered at PS Nihal Vihar, under Sections 323/326

of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and also identify the injured namely Smt.

Santosh and Ms. Kavita who are present today in the Court. There are no

other persons arrayed as accused or injured as per the FIR.

ANU MALHOTRA, J

CRL.M.C. 4758/2016

VIJENDER SINGH

Vs. STATE & ANR

Statement of CW2: Smt. Bimla Devi, w/o Sh. Naval Singh, aged 58

years, r/o 38, Laxmi Park, Nangloi.

ON S.A.

The petitioner is my brother-in-law (Devar). There was some quarrel

between him and his wife in which I intervened and now a settlement has

been arrived at between me and the petitioner. I do not oppose the prayer

made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR No. 466/2015, registered

at PS Nihal Vihar, under Sections 323/326 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

The request made for quashing of the FIR bears my signatures at point A on

Ex. CW2/A. I have made this statement voluntarily of my own accord

without any duress, pressure or coercion from any quarter.

ANU MALHOTRA, J

CRL.M.C. 4758/2016

VIJENDER SINGH

Vs. STATE & ANR

Statement of CW3: Ms. Kavita, d/o Sh. Naval Singh, aged 26 years, r/o

38, Laxmi Park, Nangloi.

ON S.A.

I do not oppose the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of

the FIR No. 466/2015, registered at PS Nihal Vihar, under Sections 323/326

of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. I do not want the petitioner/my uncle

(Chacha) to be punished in relation thereto in view of the settlement.

I have done my graduation and I teach. The request made for

quashing of the FIR bears my signatures at point B on Ex. CW2/A. I have

made this statement voluntarily of my own accord without any duress,

pressure or coercion from any quarter.

ANU MALHOTRA, J

CRL.M.C. 4758/2016

VIJENDER SINGH

Vs. STATE & ANR

Statement of CW4: Ms. Santosh, w/o Sh. Vijender Singh, aged 32

years, r/o F-2/102, Sultanpuri.

ON S.A.

There is a re-conciliation between me and the petitioner (my spouse)

and I am living with him and have been living together even after the quarrel

in relation to the FIR No. 466/2015, registered at PS Nihal Vihar, under

Sections 323/326 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. I do not oppose the prayer

made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question.

I have made this statement voluntarily of my own accord without any

duress, pressure or coercion from any quarter.

ANU MALHOTRA, J