

\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4244/2018 & CM No.16610/2018

**BIRNAGAR KABI NABIN CHANDRA SEN TEACHERS
TRAINING INSTITUTE**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Amitesh Kumar with Ms.Binisa
Mohanty & Ms.Priti Kumari, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms.Arunima Dwivedi with Ms.Preeti
Kumra, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

27.04.2018

Vide the present writ petition, the petitioner/Institute is seeking a direction to the Eastern Regional Committee/respondent no.2 to process and decide the application of the petitioner for grant of final recognition to the remaining one unit of B.Ed course under Regulation 7(16) of NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulation 2014.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner had applied for recognition of two units comprising 100 seats for the B.Ed. course, the respondent no.2 has granted recognition for only one unit i.e. 50 seats and till date has not communicated any

decision regarding the second unit comprising of 50 seats. He further submits that the respondent no.2 has upto this date, not pointed out any deficiency/reason for not granting recognition for the second unit.

He therefore prays that the respondents be directed to consider the contentions raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition before taking a final decision in respect of recognition of the second unit.

In support of his aforesaid plea, the learned counsel for the petitioner relies on the orders passed by this Court in W.P.(C)5017/2016 titled Sant Tapasvi Narayan Das Foundation and Anr. v. National Council for Teacher Education, W.P.(C) 3480/2017 titled East N West Foundation & Anr V. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr. and W.P.(C)5017/2016 titled Sant Tapasvi Narayan Das Foundation and Anr V. National Council for Teacher Education and Anr. and prays for similar directions.

Ms.Arunima Dwivedi, learned counsel appearing for the respondent/NCTE on advance notice does not oppose the aforesaid limited prayer made by the petitioner.

Keeping in view the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the orders passed by this Court, the respondents are directed to treat the present writ petition as a representation and decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order within eight weeks.

Needless to say in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved by the said order, it will be open for the petitioner to take legal recourse permissible under law.

The petition and pending application are disposed of in the above terms.

REKHA PALLI, J

APRIL 27, 2018
gm