

\$~24

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ W.P.(C) 910/2018  
SRI SAI COLLEGE OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION ..... Petitioner  
Through Mr.Ravi Kant, Adv. with Mr.Mayank  
Manish, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION  
& ANR ..... Respondents  
Through Ms.Monika Arora, Adv. with  
Mr.Harsh Ahuja, Adv. for R-1 & 2.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI**

**ORDER**  
% **31.01.2018**

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is seeking a direction to the Southern Regional Committee/respondent no.2 to process and decide the application of the petitioner for grant of the final recognition to the remaining one unit of M.P.Ed. course of the petitioner/Institute for the next academic session under Regulation 7(16) of NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulation 2014.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner had sought recognition of two units comprising 100 seats for the M.P.Ed. course, the respondent no.2 has granted recognition for only one unit i.e. 50 seats. He further submits that though the respondent no.2/Southern Regional Committee had already duly inspected the petitioner/Institute for the grant of recognition to the second unit, the respondent no.1 had rejected its appeal by holding that the respondent no.2 should not have carried out such an inspection. He submits that the

petitioner has requested the respondents to re-consider the matter but till date, no action has been taken by the respondent.

He, therefore, prays that the respondents be directed to consider the contentions raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition before taking a final decision in respect of the recognition of the second unit.

In support of his aforesaid plea, the learned counsel for the petitioner relies on the orders passed by this Court in W.P.(C)5017/2016 titled Sant Tapasvi Narayan Das Foundation and Anr. v. National Council for Teacher Education and W.P.(C) 3480/2017 titled East N West Foundation & Anr V. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr. and prays for similar directions in the present case.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent/NCTE on advance notice is unable to either deny or admit the contentions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Keeping in view the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the orders passed by this Court, the respondents are directed to treat the present writ petition as a representation and decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order within six weeks.

If the petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, he will have liberty to take legal recourse as per by law.

The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

A copy of this order may given *Dasti* under the signature of Court Master.

**JANUARY 31, 2018/aa**

**REKHA PALLI, J**