

Hon'ble V.K. Bist, J.

Mr. J.S. Bisht, Advocate, holding brief of Mr. A.D. Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sushil Vashisht, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioners have approached this Court seeking the following reliefs:

“i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the entire service of the petitioners rendered in work charge capacity for the purpose of pension, gratuity and other retrial benefits.

ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondent to grant all consequential benefits to the petitioners including service benefits i.e. pension, gratuity and other benefits treating them regular in service from the date of, when the services of the petitioners were converted into work charge establishment.”

Learned counsel for the parties made statement at the bar that controversy involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court dated 03.04.2018 passed in Writ Petition (S/S) No.1123 of 2010, “Ram Singh & another vs. State of Uttarakhand & others”. They prayed

that this petition may also be disposed of in terms of the directions passed by this Court in the aforesaid matter.

In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the directions passed by this Court in Writ Petition (S/S) No.1123 of 2010, “Ram Singh & another vs. State of Uttarakhand & others”, decided on 03.04.2018.

(V.K. Bist, J.)

31.08.2018

Arpan