

Mr. Pankaj Tangwan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sushil Vashisht, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioners have approached this Court seeking the following relief:

“i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to grant the benefits of the past services which they rendered before their regularization as work-charge employee, in work-charge establishment, for counting those services as eligibility period for the purpose of granting pensionary benefits, as per the order dated 26-4-2018 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Special Appeal No. 494/2017 and other connected special appeals (State of Uttarakhand and others Versus Brahm Pal Singh).”

Learned counsel for the parties made statement at the bar that controversy involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court dated 03.04.2018 passed in Writ Petition (S/S) No.1123 of 2010, “Ram Singh & another vs. State of Uttarakhand & others”. They prayed that this petition may also be disposed of in terms of the directions passed by this Court in the aforesaid matter.

In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the directions passed by this Court in Writ Petition (S/S) No.1123 of 2010, "Ram Singh & another vs. State of Uttarakhand & others", decided on 03.04.2018.

**(V.K. Bist, J.)**  
31.08.2018

*Arpan*