

Hon'ble Manoj K. Tiwari, J.

Mr. J.C. Pande, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Patni, Advocate for respondent nos. 1 & 2.

There is delay of 1832 days in filing this review application.

It is stated that against the judgment dated 16.10.2012 sought to be reviewed, SLP No. 34728 of 2013 was filed by respondent nos. 1 & 2, which was dismissed on 08.09.2017. After dismissal of the said SLP this review application alongwith delay Condonation application have been filed. The cause shown for Condonation of delay is sufficient, therefore, the delay in filing review application is condoned. Delay Condonation Application (CLMA No. 15299 of 2017) is allowed.

The review applicant seeks to re-argue the case on merits under the garb of review petition. It is settled position in law that in exercise of review jurisdiction, it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be reheard and corrected. Review is not an appeal in disguise. This Court do not find any error apparent on the face of record which may warrant interference under review jurisdiction.

In such view of the matter, the review application is dismissed.

(Manoj K. Tiwari, J.)
31.05.2018

